

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		_
10/039,940	10/26/2001		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		Bowie G. Keefer	6454-56839	8442
	590 11/26/2004			
KLARQUIST	SPARKMAN, LLP		EXAMINER	
One World Trac	de Center		KALAFUT, STEPHEN J	
Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland, OR 97204		•	ART UNIT	
			<u> </u>	PAPER NUMBER
Tornana, OK	97204	•	1745	
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant
	1	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/039,940	KEEFER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Stephen J. Kalafut	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	in appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a room. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (C) No.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7/2/2004	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action :	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	er Exparts Quarte 1995 9	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	Parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,12-22,24-33 and 35-95 is/s 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,12-22,24-33,35-72 and 80- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 73-79 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration. - <u>95</u> is/are allowed.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner	
is/are: a)	Conted or b) Taking a	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the leading to the leading t	ection is required if the description	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner Note the attached O	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		nice Action or form PTO-152.
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
·		
and copies of the priority documen	its have been received.	
- Copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Appli	cation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea	JIIV (Inclimente baya bassiii	eived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the codification.	
and doubt for a list	or the certified copies not rece	eived.
chment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (RTO 200)		
J NOTICE Of Draftsperson's Detent Description B.	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/2and 22/2004.	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/039,940

Art Unit: 1745

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/2/2004 has been entered.

The indicated allowability of claims 73-79 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Edlund (US 6,667,128) and Ding *et al.* (cited by applicants). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 73-76, 78 and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edlund (US 6,667,128) in view of Ding *et al.* ("Adsorption-enhanced...", cited by applicants).

Edlund discloses several varieties of fuel cells (column 5, lines 44-45), and teach that fuel cells generally consume hydrogen as a fuel (column 1, lines 18-21), and that hydrogen may be provided from a reforming reaction via a pressure swing adsorption device, which separates the hydrogen from other gases (column 8, lines 48-65). The present claims differ by reciting that an

Application/Control Number: 10/039,940

Art Unit: 1745

adsorption bed and a reforming catalyst are in the same module, in two respective zones thereof. Ding et al. disclose a system for reforming methane into hydrogen, in which the reforming catalyst may be mixed with the adsorbent throughout the reactor (page 3931, left column), or in which a catalyst-only zone is separate from a mixed catalyst-adsorbent zone (page 3936, right column). The preferred adsorbent is hydrotalcite, the same as at present, which would thus have the same properties, such as preferring CO₂ to water. Because the use of an adsorbent would enhance the reforming reaction (Abstract), and because this arrangement would allow the reformer and adsorber to be housed in a single vessel, it would be obvious to use the reforming adsorbing device of Ding et al. to supply hydrogen to the fuel cell of Edlund, such as the solid oxide fuel cell. Selection of an appropriate reforming catalyst would be within the skill of the ordinary artisan, who is given guidance by Ding et al. (page 3936, right column, bottom).

Claim 77 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edlund in view of Ding et al. as applied to claim 75 above, and further in view of Fornof et al. (US 6,358,300).

The above combination does not teach a desiccant in the reforming-adsorbing device, in a third zone thereof. Fornof *et al.* disclose the use of desiccant in pressure swing adsorption, to dry air or other gas (column 2, lines 4-21). Because water is a reactant used to reform hydrocarbons to produce hydrogen for fuel cell use, it may be present residually in the reformer output, which would be detrimental in solid oxide and molten carbonate cells, since it is not a reactant therein. For this reason, it would be obvious to add the desiccant of Fornof *et al.* to the reforming-adsorbing device of Ding *et al.*

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 12-22, 24-33 35-72 and 80-95, for reasons of record, are allowed. The newly submitted prior art, and the art cited herein, also do not disclose or teach the subject matter indicated as allowable in paper no. 7, pages 5 and 6, except as indicated above.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. An English translation of Japanese 05-166,528 (cited by applicants) is enclosed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sik

stepten na aput primary examiner group [700